arXiv:1104.2100v1 [hep-th] 12 Apr 2011

MISC-2011-06

Donaldson Invariants and Their Generalizations from AKSZ Topological Field Theories

Noriaki IKEDA*

Maskawa Institute for Science and Culture, Kyoto Sangyo University,
Kyoto 603-8555, Japan

January 19, 2013

Abstract

Observable structures of a topological field theory of AKSZ type are analyzed. From a double (or multiple) complex structure of observable algebras, new topological invariants are constructed. Especially, Donaldson polynomial invariants and their generalizations are constructed from a topological field theory of AKSZ type in 4 dimensions recently proposed.

---

*E-mail address: ikeda@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

The AKSZ construction [1][2][3] is a formulation of a topological field theory (TFT) based on geometry of a graded symplectic manifold, so called a QP-manifold. It is equivalent to the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism [4][5] in a gauge theory if a TFT of Schwarz type is considered.

A TFT in higher dimensions based in term of a graded manifold has been formulated in mathematical and physical contexts [6][7][8]. The resulting TFTs have structures of homotopy Lie algebroids and include known TFTs, such as BF theories, the Poisson sigma model, the Chern-Simons theory, etc. A review about recent developments related to algebroids and topological field theories are in [9].

An observable structure, which is a cohomology class of a complex on a Q-structure, in a TFT of AKSZ type has been analyzed in many papers [10][11][12][13][14][15]. A new aspect of a classical observable is pointed out in this paper. Generally a Q-structure has double or multiple complex structures. As an interesting case, We analyze the AKSZ sigma model with a multiple complex structure in this paper.

As an example, a TFT of AKSZ type in 4 dimensions is considered. A TFT of AKSZ type in 4 dimensions has been recently proposed based on a QP manifold of degree 3 [16]. A homotopy algebroid structure in this theory is called a Lie algebroid up to homotopy [16] in a splittable case, or the H-twisted Lie algebroid [17] in general case. This theory includes a BF theory and a topological Yang-Mills theory in 4 dimensions as special cases. Donaldson polynomial invariants [19][20] are constructed as a cohomology class of a triple complex of a Q-structure.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, a QP-manifold and an AKSZ construction are reviewed. In Section 3, a general theory and a multiple complex of classical observables of a TFT of AKSZ type is analyzed. In Section 4, a QP-manifold of degree 3 is reviewed. In Section 5, Donaldson polynomial invariants are constructed from a TFT of AKSZ type In Section 6, generalizations are considered.

2 QP Manifolds and AKSZ Construction of Topological Field Theories

Definition 2.1 *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a nonnegatively graded manifold* [b]*; ω be a graded symplectic form of degree n on $\mathcal{M}$ and Q be a differential of degree $+1$ with $Q^2 = 0$. A triple $(\mathcal{M}, \omega, Q)$ is called a **QP-manifold** of degree n if ω and Q are compatible, that is, $\mathcal{L}_Q \omega = 0$. [5]*

The symplectic structure ω is a called a (classical) **P-structure** and Q is a called a (classical) **Q-structure**. The graded Poisson bracket on $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ is defined from the graded symplectic structure ω on $\mathcal{M}$,

$$\{f, g\} = (-1)^{|f|+1} \iota_{X_f} \iota_{X_g} \omega, \tag{2.1}$$

where a Hamiltonian vector field X_f is defined by the equation $\{f, g\} = X_f g$, for $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. Since the graded symplectic structure ω has degree n, the graded Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$ has degree $-n$.

A Hamiltonian $\Theta \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ of Q with respect to the Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$ satisfies

$$Q = \{\Theta, -\},$$

and is of degree $n + 1$. The differential condition, $Q^2 = 0$, implies that Θ is a solution of the **classical master equation**,

$$\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

If a QP-manifold is given, we can construct a topological field theory by the AKSZ construction. [1][2][3]

Let $(\mathcal{X}, D)$ be a differential graded (dg) manifold $\mathcal{X}$ with a D-invariant nondegenerate measure μ, where D is a differential on $\mathcal{X}$. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega, Q)$ be a QP-manifold. $Q = \{\Theta, -\}$ is a differential on $\mathcal{M}$. $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is a space of smooth maps from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{M}$. A QP-structure on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is constructed from the above data.

Since $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ naturally acts on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$, D and Q induce homological vector fields on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$, $\hat{D}$ and $\check{Q}$. Explicitly, $\hat{D}(z, f) = df(z)D(z)$ and $\check{Q}(z, f) = Qf(x)$, for $z \in \mathcal{X}$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{X}}$.

---

[b] A graded manifold is a ringed space with a structure sheaf of nonnegatively graded algebras.

Two maps are introduced. An *evaluation map* $\mathrm{ev} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{ev} : (z, \Phi) \longmapsto \Phi(z),$$

where $z \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{X}}$.

A *chain map* $\mu_* : \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{X}})$ is defined as

$$\mu_*\omega(y)(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu(x)\omega(x, y)(v_1, \ldots, v_k)$$

where v is a vector field on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu$ is an integration on $\mathcal{X}$. It is an usual integral for even degree parts and the Berezin integral for odd degree parts.

A P-structure on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is defined as follows:

Definition 2.2 *For a graded symplectic form ω on $\mathcal{M}$, a graded symplectic form $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is defined as $\boldsymbol{\omega} := \mu_*\mathrm{ev}^*\omega$, where $F, G \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.*

$\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is nondegenerate and closed because $\mu_*\mathrm{ev}^*$ preserves nondegeneracy and closedness. A graded symplectic form $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ induces a (graded) Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$ on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$. $|\boldsymbol{\omega}| = -1$ because $|\omega| = n$ and $|\mu_*\mathrm{ev}^*| = -n-1$. Therefore a Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$ on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ has degree 1 and odd.

An odd Laplace operator Δ on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ can be constructed from an odd Poisson bracket if $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ has a measure $\boldsymbol{\rho}$. That is, it is defined as

$$\Delta F = \frac{(-1)^{|F|}}{2} \mathrm{div}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} X_F,$$

where $F \in C^\infty(\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}))$. Here the divergence div is defined as $\int_{\mathcal{M}} \boldsymbol{\rho}\, X(F) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \boldsymbol{\rho}\, \mathrm{div}_\rho X F$ for $F \in C^\infty(\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}))$. Conversely, If an odd Laplace operator is defined on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$, an odd Poisson bracket is derived from an odd Laplace operator by a derived bracket:

$$\{F, G\} := -(-1)^{|F|}[[\hat{\Delta}, F], G](1).$$

A Q-structure S on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ S is called a *BV action* and consists of two parts $S = S_0 + S_{int}$. S is constructed as follows. We take a fundamental form ϑ such that $\boldsymbol{\omega} = -d\vartheta$ and define $S_0 := \iota_{\hat{D}}\mu_*\mathrm{ev}^*\vartheta$. We take a Q-structure Θ on $\mathcal{M}$ and define $S_{int} := \mu_*\mathrm{ev}^*\Theta$. $|S_0| = 0$ and S_{int} have degree 0 because $\mu_*\mathrm{ev}^*$ has degree $-n-1$.

We can prove that S is a Q-structure on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$, since

$$\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \{S, S\} = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

from the definition of S_0 and S_1. The right hand side in this equation is called the classical master equation on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$. A homological vector field $\boldsymbol{Q} = \{S, -\}$ has $|\boldsymbol{Q}| = 1$. $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is a coboundary operator which defines a cohomology, called a BRST cohomology.

The following theorem has been proved [1]:

Theorem 2.3 *If $\mathcal{X}$ is a dg manifold with a compatible measure and $\mathcal{M}$ is a QP-manifold, the graded manifold $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ has a QP-structure.*

This geometric structure on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is called a topological field theory. A QP-structure is the consistency condition of a topological field theory.

For a graded manifold $\mathcal{N}$, let $\mathcal{N}|_0$ be the degree zero part.

Definition 2.4 *A **topological field theory** in $n+1$ dimensions is a triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}, S)$, where $\mathcal{X}$ is a dg manifold with $\dim \mathcal{X}|_0 = n + 1$, $\mathcal{M}$ is a QP-manifold of degree n and S is a BV action of degree 0 such that $\{S, S\} = 0$.*

If $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X$, a QP-structure on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$ is equivalent to the BV formalism of a topological field theory [2][8]. The theory is gauge invariant and unitary by physical discussion. Thus it provides a consistent quantum field theory.

$\mathcal{A} = \{S_{int}, -\}$ is a generalized connection on $\mathcal{X} \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathcal{M})$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{e}_{a(i)} = (\boldsymbol{d} + \mathcal{A})\boldsymbol{e}_{a(i)}$ is a covariant derivative, where $\boldsymbol{e}_{a(i)}$ is a basis of degree i elements. In fact, this coincides with a connection form, if a graded manifold constructed from a principal bundle with a structure Lie group G and a nonabelian BF Theory in $n+1$ dimensions are considered. Since $\boldsymbol{Q}^2 F(\boldsymbol{e}) = (\boldsymbol{d} + \mathcal{A})(\boldsymbol{d} + \mathcal{A})F(\boldsymbol{e}) = \mathcal{F}F(\boldsymbol{e})$, $\boldsymbol{Q}^2 = 0$ is equivalent to the flatness condition $\mathcal{F} = 0$, where $\mathcal{F} = \boldsymbol{d}\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}\mathcal{A} = 0$ is a curvature. The classical master equation $\{S, S\} = 0$ is considered as the Maurer-Cartan equation.

3 Double and Triple Complexes and Cohomology

In the AKSZ construction, $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is decomposed to two coboundary operators $\boldsymbol{Q} = \boldsymbol{Q}_0 + \boldsymbol{Q}_{int}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{Q}_0^2 = \boldsymbol{Q}_{int}^2 = 0, \\ &\{\boldsymbol{Q}_0, \boldsymbol{Q}_{int}\} = 0, \qquad \text{if} \quad k \neq l, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{Q}_0 = \{\boldsymbol{Q}_0, -\}$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}_{int} = \{\boldsymbol{Q}_{int}, -\}$ $\boldsymbol{Q}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}_{int}$ defines a double complex $\mathcal{C}^{p_0,p_1}(\boldsymbol{Q}_0, \boldsymbol{Q}_{int})$.

We are interested in a $\boldsymbol{Q}$-cohomology class. Let us take a $\boldsymbol{Q}_{int}$-cocycle $\mathcal{W}$ such that $\boldsymbol{Q}_{int}\mathcal{W} = (\boldsymbol{Q} - \boldsymbol{Q}_0)\mathcal{W} = 0$. $\mathcal{W}$ is constructed from Q-cocycle W on $\mathcal{M}$ as $\boldsymbol{x}^*W$. Then since $\boldsymbol{Q}\mathcal{W} = \boldsymbol{Q}_0\mathcal{W} = \boldsymbol{d}\mathcal{W}$,

Theorem 3.1

$$\mu_{k*}\mathcal{W} = \int_{\gamma_k} \mu_k \ \mathcal{W},$$

is a $\boldsymbol{Q}$-cocycle, where γ_k is a k-cycle on $\mathcal{X}$ and μ_k is an induced measure of μ on γ_k.

$\mathcal{W}$ is expanded by θ as

$$\mathcal{W} = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \mathcal{W}_k(\sigma, \theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \theta^{\mu(1)} \cdots \theta^{\mu(k)} \mathcal{W}_{k,\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma).$$

By substituting this expansion to the equation $\boldsymbol{Q}_1\mathcal{W} = 0$, the following descent equations are obtained,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{Q}\mathcal{W}_0 &= 0, \\ -\boldsymbol{d}\mathcal{W}_1 + \boldsymbol{Q}\mathcal{W}_0 &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ -\boldsymbol{d}\mathcal{W}_n + \boldsymbol{Q}\mathcal{W}_{n-1} &= 0, \\ -\boldsymbol{d}\mathcal{W}_n &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{Q}_0 = \boldsymbol{d}$ is used. The following theorem is obtained:

Theorem 3.2 *The integration of $\mathcal{W}_k$ on a k-cycle γ_k of $\mathcal{X}$,*

$$\mathcal{O}_k = \int_{\gamma_k} \mu_k \ \mathcal{W} = \int_{\gamma_k} \mu_k \ \mathcal{W}_k$$

is a $\boldsymbol{Q}$-cohomology class, by applying the theorem 3.1 to $\mathcal{W}_k$.

Especially, if we consider a $\boldsymbol{Q}$-exact cocycle:

$$\mathcal{W} = \boldsymbol{Q}\mathcal{U}, \tag{3.4}$$

$\mu_{*k}\mathcal{W}$ is a $\boldsymbol{Q}$-cocycle. Some important geometric objects have these forms. Let $\boldsymbol{x}$ be a map $\boldsymbol{x} : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow M$, where $M = \mathcal{M}_0$ be a manifold of a degree 0 part of $\mathcal{M}$. If we consider $\mathcal{U}_0 = F(\boldsymbol{x})$, a function of $\boldsymbol{x}$, $\boldsymbol{Q}$ acts as a bundle map (the anchor map) $\rho : E \longrightarrow TM$ of an algebroid.

$$\mu_{*1}\mathcal{W} = \int_{\gamma_1} \mu_1 \; \boldsymbol{Q}F(\boldsymbol{x}),$$

is a Hamiltonian vector field with respect to $\boldsymbol{Q}$. Let $\boldsymbol{q}^a$ be a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(\mathcal{M}_1)$, where $\mathcal{M}_1$ is a degree one part of $\mathcal{M}$. If we consider $\mathcal{U} = \boldsymbol{q}^a$, $\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{q}^a$ is a generalized curvature. The Bianchi identity is $\boldsymbol{Q}^2\boldsymbol{q}^a = 0$.

$$\mu_{*2}\mathcal{W} = \int_{\gamma_2} \mu_2 \; \boldsymbol{Q}(U_a(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{q}^a),$$

is a $\boldsymbol{Q}$ cohomology class. This is a generalization of the 1st Pontryagin class.

We assume that a Q-structure $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is decomposed to three coboundary operators $\boldsymbol{Q} = \boldsymbol{Q}^{(0)} + \boldsymbol{Q}^{(1)} + \boldsymbol{Q}^{(2)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\boldsymbol{Q}^{(k)})^2 = 0, \\ &\{\boldsymbol{Q}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{Q}^{(l)}\} = 0, \qquad \text{if} \quad k \neq l, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{Q}^{(0)} = \boldsymbol{Q}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}_{int} = \boldsymbol{Q}^{(1)} + \boldsymbol{Q}^{(2)}$. This defines a triple complex $\mathcal{C}^{p_0,p_1,p_2}(\boldsymbol{Q}^{(k)})$.

In this case, a $\boldsymbol{Q}^{(2)}$-exact cocycle:

$$\mathcal{W} = \boldsymbol{Q}^{(2)}\mathcal{U}, \tag{3.5}$$

is nontrivial $\boldsymbol{Q}$-cocycle if $\mathcal{W}$ satisfies $\boldsymbol{Q}^{(1)}\mathcal{W} = 0$ and $\mathcal{W}$ is not $\boldsymbol{Q}^{(1)}$-exact, where $\mathcal{U} \in C^\infty(\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}))$.

4 QP Structures of Degree 3

In this section, we remember a QP-structure of degree 3 and a Lie algebroid up to homotopy introduced in the paper [16].

4.1 P-structures

Let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle over an ordinary smooth manifold M. The shifted bundle $E[1] \to M$ is a graded manifold whose fiber space has degree $+1$. We consider the shifted cotangent bundle $\mathcal{M} := T^*[3]E[1]$. It is a P-manifold of the degree 3 over M,

$$T^*[3]E[1] \to \mathcal{M}_2 \to E[1] \to M.$$

In fact $\mathcal{M}_2$ is $E[1] \oplus E^*[2]$. The structure sheaf $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is decomposed into the homogeneous subspaces,

$$C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) = \sum_{i \geq 0} C^i(\mathcal{M}),$$

where $C^i(\mathcal{M})$ is the space of functions of degree i. In particular, $C^0(\mathcal{M}) = C^\infty(M)$: the algebra of smooth functions on the base manifold and $C^1(\mathcal{M}) = \Gamma E^*$: the space of sections of the dual bundle of E. We have $C^2(\mathcal{M}) = \Gamma E \oplus \Gamma \wedge^2 E^*$.

Let us denote by (x, q, p, ξ) a canonical (Darboux) coordinate on $\mathcal{M}$, where x is a smooth coordinate on M, q is a fiber coordinate on $E[1] \to M$, (ξ, p) is the momentum coordinate on $T^*[3]E[1]$ for (x, q). The degrees of the variables (x, q, p, ξ) are respectively $(0, 1, 2, 3)$.

For the canonical coordinate on $\mathcal{M}$, the symplectic structure has the following form:

$$\omega = \delta x^i \delta \xi_i + \delta q^a \delta p_a, \tag{4.6}$$

4.2 Q-structures

Definition 4.1 *(see also Remark 3.3.3 in [18]) Consider a monomial $\xi^i p^j q^k$ on a local chart $(U; x, q, p, \xi)$ of $\mathcal{M}$, of which the total degree is $3i + 2j + k$. The* ***bidegree*** *of the monomial is, by definition, $(2(i + j), i + k)$.*

The bidegree is globally well-defined. We consider a Q-structure, $Q = \{\Theta, -\}$, on the P-manifold. It is required that Θ has degree 4. That is, $\Theta \in C^4(\mathcal{M})$. Because $C^4(\mathcal{M}) = C^{4,0}(\mathcal{M}) \oplus C^{2,2}(\mathcal{M}) \oplus C^{0,4}(\mathcal{M})$, the Q-structure is uniquely decomposed into

$$\Theta = \theta_2 + \theta_{13} + \theta_4,$$

In the canonical coordinate, Θ is the following polynomial:

$$\Theta = {f_1}^i{}_a(x)\xi_i q^a + \frac{1}{2}{f_2}^{ab}(x)p_a p_b + \frac{1}{2}{f_3}^a{}_{bc}(x)p_a q^b q^c + \frac{1}{4!}f_{4abcd}(x)q^a q^b q^c q^d, \tag{4.7}$$

and the substructures are

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_2 &= \frac{1}{2} {f_2}^{ab}(x) p_a p_b, \\
\theta_{13} &= {f_1}^i{}_a(x) \xi_i q^a + \frac{1}{2} {f_3}^a{}_{bc}(x) p_a q^b q^c, \\
\theta_4 &= \frac{1}{4!} f_{4abcd}(x) q^a q^b q^c q^d,
\end{aligned}$$

where f_1-f_4 are structure functions on M. One can easily prove that the classical master equation $\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0$ is equivalent to the following three identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\theta_{13}, \theta_2\} &= 0, && (4.8) \\
\frac{1}{2}\{\theta_{13}, \theta_{13}\} + \{\theta_2, \theta_4\} &= 0, && (4.9) \\
\{\theta_{13}, \theta_4\} &= 0. && (4.10)
\end{aligned}$$

4.3 Lie algebroid up to homotopy

Definition 4.2 *Let $Q = \theta_2 + \theta_{13} + \theta_4$ be a Q-structure on $T^*[3]E[1]$, where $(\theta_2, \theta_{13}, \theta_4)$ is the unique decomposition of Θ. We call the quadruple $(E; \theta_2, \theta_{13}, \theta_4)$ a* ***Lie algebroid up to homotopy****, in shorthand, Lie algebroid u.t.h.*

Let us define a bracket product by

$$[e_1, e_2] := \{\{\theta_{13}, e_1\}, e_2\}, \tag{4.11}$$

where $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma E$. The bracket is not necessarily a Lie bracket, but it is still skewsymmetric: A bundle map $\rho : E \to TM$ which is called an anchor map is defined by the following identity:

$$\rho(e)(f) := \{\{\theta_{13}, e\}, f\},$$

where $f \in C^\infty(M)$. The bracket and the anchor map satisfy the **algebroid** conditions (A0) and (A1) below:

(A0) $\rho[e_1, e_2] = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)]$,

(A1) $[e_1, f e_2] = f[e_1, e_2] + \rho(e_1)(f) e_2$,

where the bracket $[\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)]$ is the usual Lie bracket on ΓTM. The structures θ_{13}, θ_2 and θ_4 define the three operations:

- $\delta(-) := \{\theta_{13}, -\}$; a de Rham type derivation on $\Gamma \wedge^{\cdot} E^*$,
- $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) := \{\{\theta_2, \alpha_1\}, \alpha_2\}$; a symmetric pairing on E^*, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Gamma E^*$,
- $\Omega(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) := \{\{\{\{\theta_4, e_1\}, e_2\}, e_3\}, e_4\}$; a 4-form on E.

The pairing induces a symmetric bundle map $\partial : E^* \to E$ which is defined by the equation, $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \langle \partial\alpha_1 \, , \alpha_2 \rangle$, where $\langle - \, , - \rangle$ is the canonical pairing of the duality of E and E^*. Since $\langle \alpha \, , e \rangle = \{\alpha, e\}$, we have

$$\partial\alpha = -\{\theta_2, \alpha\}.$$

We get an explicit formula of the Jacobi anomaly from $\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0$:

(A2) $[[e_1, e_2], e_3] + \text{(cyclic permutations)} = \partial\Omega(e_1, e_2, e_3)$.

In a similar way, we obtain the following identities:

(A3) $\rho\partial = 0$,

(A4) $\rho(e)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (\mathcal{L}_e\alpha_1, \alpha_2) + (\alpha_1, \mathcal{L}_e\alpha_2)$,

(A5) $\delta\Omega = 0$,

where $\mathcal{L}_e(-) := \{\{\theta_{13}, e\}, -\}$ is the Lie type derivation which acts on E^*.

Thus, the Lie algebroid up to homotopy is characterized by the algebraic properties (A1)–(A5).[c] One concludes that

Theorem 4.3 *The classical algebra associated with the QP-manifold* $(T^*[3]E[1], \Theta)$ *is the space of sections of the vector bundle* E *with the operations* $([\cdot, \cdot], \rho, \partial, \Omega)$ *satisfying (A1)–(A5).*

5 Donaldson Polynomials from AKSZ TFT in 4 Dimensions

A general AKSZ construction in section 2 is applied to a QP manifold of degree 3. Let us take a manifold X in 4 dimensions and a manifold M in d dimensions. For a graded vector bundle $E[1]$ on M, take $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X$ and $\mathcal{M} = T^*[3]E[1]$.

---

[c] Actually, the axiom (A0) depends on (A1) and (A2).

(σ^μ, θ^μ) is a local coordinate on $T[1]X$. σ^μ is a local coordinate on the base manifold X of degree zero and θ^μ is one on the fiber of $T[1]X$ of degree 1, respectively. $\boldsymbol{x}^i$ is a smooth map $\boldsymbol{x}^i : X \longrightarrow M$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i$ is a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(T^*[3]M)$, $\boldsymbol{q}^a$ is a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(E[1])$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_a$ is a section of $T^*[1]X \otimes \boldsymbol{x}^*(T^*[3]E[1])$. The exterior derivative d is taken as a differential D on X. These are called *superfields*. From d, a differential $\boldsymbol{d} = \theta^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^\mu}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ is induced.

Then a BV action S has the following expression from (4.6) and (4.7):

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= S_0 + S_{int}, \qquad (5.12)\\
S_0 &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^4\sigma d^4\theta (\boldsymbol{\xi}_i \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}^i - \boldsymbol{p}_a \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^a),\\
S_{int} &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^4\sigma d^4\theta \left(f_1{}^i{}_a(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{\xi}_i\boldsymbol{q}^a + \frac{1}{2} f_2{}^{ab}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{p}_a\boldsymbol{p}_b + \frac{1}{2} f_3{}^a{}_{bc}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{p}_a\boldsymbol{q}^b\boldsymbol{q}^c + \frac{1}{4!} f_{4abcd}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{q}^a\boldsymbol{q}^b\boldsymbol{q}^c\boldsymbol{q}^d \right).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = d^4\sigma d^4\theta$. We introduce a tridegree.

Definition 5.1 *Consider a monomial* $\boldsymbol{\xi}^i \boldsymbol{p}^j \boldsymbol{q}^k \boldsymbol{x}^l \sigma^m \theta^n$ *on a local chart of* $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$*, of which the total degree is* $3i + 2j + k + n$*. The* ***tridegree*** *of the monomial is, by definition,* $(2(i + j), i + k, n)$*.*

First two degrees in tridegree are ones induced from bidegree on $\mathcal{M}$. S_{int} is decomposed to three terms as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{13} &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^4\sigma d^4\theta \left(f_1{}^i{}_a(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{\xi}_i\boldsymbol{q}^a + \frac{1}{2} f_3{}^a{}_{bc}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{p}_a\boldsymbol{q}^b\boldsymbol{q}^c \right),\\
S_2 &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^4\sigma d^4\theta \, \frac{1}{2} f_2{}^{ab}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{p}_a\boldsymbol{p}_b, \quad S_4 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^4\sigma d^4\theta \, \frac{1}{4!} f_{4abcd}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{q}^a\boldsymbol{q}^b\boldsymbol{q}^c\boldsymbol{q}^d.
\end{aligned}$$

and denote $\boldsymbol{Q}_i = \{S_i, -\}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$. $\boldsymbol{Q}_0$ has tridegree $(0, 0, 1)$, $\boldsymbol{Q}_{13}$ has $(0, 1, 0)$, $\boldsymbol{Q}_2$ has $(2, -1, 0)$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}_4$ has $(-2, 3, 0)$.

5.1 Topological Yang-Mills Theory

Let us consider a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and the vector bundle which is a vector space on a point. The P-manifold over $\mathfrak{g} \to \{pt\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M} = \mathfrak{g}^*[2] \oplus \mathfrak{g}[1]$ and the structure sheaf is the polynomial algebra over $\mathfrak{g}[2] \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*[1]$,

$$C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) = S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\cdot} \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

We assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is semi-simple. Then the dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ has a metric, $(\cdot,\cdot)_{K^{-1}}$, which is the inverse of the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$. A Q-structure is constructed as follows:

$$\Theta := \theta_2 + \theta_3 = \frac{1}{2}k^{ab}p_a p_b + \frac{1}{2}f^a{}_{bc}p_a q^b q^c, \tag{5.13}$$

where $p_\cdot \in \mathfrak{g}$, $q_\cdot \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $k^{ab}p_a p_b := (\cdot,\cdot)_{K^{-1}}$, and $f^a{}_{bc}$ is the structure constant of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

(5.13) is obtained by setting $x^i = \xi_i = 0$ and

$$f_1{}^i{}_a(x) \;=\; 0, \quad f_2{}^{ab}(x) = k^{ab} = \delta^{ab}, \quad f_3{}^a{}_{bc}(x) = f^a{}_{bc}, \quad f_{4abcd}(x) = 0. \tag{5.14}$$

in (4.7). Here the orthogonal basis such that $k^{ab} = \delta^{ab}$ is taken. A topological field theory in 4 dimensions is constructed from Equation (5.13):

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S_0 + S_2 + S_3 \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^4\sigma d^4\theta \left(-\boldsymbol{p}_a \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^a + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{p}^a\boldsymbol{p}_a + \frac{1}{2}f^a{}_{bc}\boldsymbol{p}_a\boldsymbol{q}^b\boldsymbol{q}^c \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{Q}_0^2 = \boldsymbol{Q}_2^2 = \boldsymbol{Q}_3^2 = 0, \\ &\{\boldsymbol{Q}_k, \boldsymbol{Q}_l\} = 0, \qquad \text{if} \qquad k \neq l, \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied from Equation (4.8)-(4.10), $\boldsymbol{Q}_0$, $\boldsymbol{Q}_2$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}_3$ define a triple complex structure assigned tridegree $C^{(IJK)}(\boldsymbol{Q}_k)$ where $k, l = 0, 2, 3$.

The differentials with respect to $\boldsymbol{q}^a$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_a$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{q}^a &= \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{q}^a - \boldsymbol{p}^a - \frac{1}{2}f^a{}_{bc}\boldsymbol{q}^b\boldsymbol{q}^c, \\ \boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{p}_a &= \boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{p}_a - f^b{}_{ac}\boldsymbol{p}_b\boldsymbol{q}^c. \end{aligned}$$

We expand $\boldsymbol{q}^a$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_a$ by θ^μ and denote components of superfields as

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{q}^a(\sigma,\theta) &= \sum_{k=0}^{4} \boldsymbol{q}^{a(k)}(\sigma,\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{4} \frac{1}{k!}\theta^{\mu(1)}\cdots\theta^{\mu(k)}\boldsymbol{q}^{a(k)}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma) \\ &= c^a - A^a + H^{+a} + t^{+a} + v^{+a}, \\ \boldsymbol{p}_a(\sigma,\theta) &= \sum_{k=0}^{4} \boldsymbol{p}^{(k)}_a(\sigma,\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{4} \frac{1}{k!}\theta^{\mu(1)}\cdots\theta^{\mu(k)}\boldsymbol{p}^{(k)}_a{}_{\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}(\sigma) \\ &= v_a + t_a + H_a + A^+_a + c^+_a, \end{aligned}$$

The $\boldsymbol{Q}$ differentials on the component fields are

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{Q}c^a &= -v^a - \frac{1}{2}f^a{}_{bc}c^bc^c, \\
\boldsymbol{Q}A^a &= t^a - \boldsymbol{d}c^a - f^a{}_{bc}A^bc^c, \\
\boldsymbol{Q}v_a &= -f^b{}_{ac}v_bc^c, \\
\boldsymbol{Q}t_a &= \boldsymbol{d}v_a - f^b{}_{ac}(t_bc^c - v_bA^c),
\end{aligned}$$

which recover the BRST transformations of a topological Yang-Mills theory [21]. [d]

Let us consider $\mathcal{U} = -\boldsymbol{p}_a\boldsymbol{q}^a \in \mathcal{C}^{(2,1,0)}(\boldsymbol{Q}^{(k)})$ and a $\boldsymbol{Q}_2$-exact cocycle:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{Q}_2(-\boldsymbol{p}_a\boldsymbol{q}^a) = -\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{p})_{K^{-1}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\ \left[\left(v + t + \boldsymbol{p}^{(2)} + \boldsymbol{p}^{(3)} + \boldsymbol{p}^{(4)}\right)^2\right] \in \mathcal{C}^{(4,0,0)}(\boldsymbol{Q}^{(k)}),
\end{aligned}$$

is a $\boldsymbol{Q}_{int}$-cohomology class because $\boldsymbol{Q}_3\mathcal{W} = 0$ from $\rho = 0$ in the identity $(A4)$, where tr is a trace with respect to the Killing form. If all the antifields $\boldsymbol{p}^{(3)}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}^{(4)}$ are set to zero and the equation of motion for $\boldsymbol{p}_a^{(2)}$ is used:

$$\boldsymbol{p}_a^{(2)} = -F^a = -\boldsymbol{d}A^a - \frac{1}{2}f_3{}^a{}_{bc}A^bA^c,$$

$\mathcal{W}_2$ becomes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left[(v + t - F)^2\right] = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left[(-i\phi + i\psi - F)^2\right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}F^2 + i\mathrm{tr}\psi F + \mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{1}{2}\psi^2 - i\phi F\right) - \mathrm{tr}\psi\phi + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\phi^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which is an original Donaldson polynomial invariant in the topological Yang-Mills theory [19][20][21]. Here $v_a = -i\phi_a$ and $t_a = i\psi_a$. More generally, the trace of N times of $\boldsymbol{Q}_2$ exact cocycles, $-\boldsymbol{p}^a = \boldsymbol{Q}_2\boldsymbol{q}^a$:

$$\mathcal{W}_N = c_N\mathrm{tr}\ (-\boldsymbol{p}^a)^N = c_N\mathrm{tr}\ (\boldsymbol{Q}_2\boldsymbol{q}^a)^N \in \mathcal{C}^{(2N,0,0)}(\boldsymbol{Q}^{(k)}),$$

is a $\boldsymbol{Q}_{int}$-invariant polynomial $\boldsymbol{Q}_{int}\mathcal{W}_N = 0$, because $\boldsymbol{Q}_3\boldsymbol{Q}_2\boldsymbol{q}^a = -[\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}]^a$. Here c_N is a normalization constant. $\mathcal{W}_N$ is expanded by the order of θ's as

$$\mathcal{W}_N = \sum_{k=0}^{4}\mathcal{W}_k^{(N)}(\sigma,\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{4}\theta^{\mu(1)}\cdots\theta^{\mu(k)}\mathcal{W}_{k,\mu(1)\cdots\mu(k)}^{(N)}(\sigma).$$

---

[d] Notation in the paper [21] is $v_a = -i\phi_a$ and $t_a = i\psi_a$.

By substituting this expansion to the equation $\boldsymbol{Q}_{int}\mathcal{W}_N = (\boldsymbol{Q} - \boldsymbol{Q}_0)\mathcal{W}_N = 0$ and considering descent equations, the following theorem is obtained from the theorem (3.2):

Theorem 5.2 *The integration of* $\mathcal{W}_k^{(N)}$ *on a* k*-cycle* γ_k *of* $\mathcal{X}$*,*

$$\mathcal{O}_k^{(N)} = \int_{\gamma_k} \mu_k \; \mathcal{W}_N = \int_{\gamma_k} \mu_k \; \mathcal{W}_k^{(N)},$$

is a $\boldsymbol{Q}$*-cohomology class.*

Since $\mathcal{W}_N$ is $\boldsymbol{Q}_2$-exact, there exists a Chern-Simons form $\mathcal{I}_N$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}_N = \boldsymbol{Q}_2\mathcal{I}_N.$$

An original action of the topological Yang-Mills theory is derived by carrying out a gauge fixing of the AKSZ action (5.15) and restricting the theory to a Lagrangian submanifold. In order to fix gauge, two antighosts and two Nakanishi-Lautrap Lagrange multiplier fields are introduced. λ^a is a Grassmann even scalar field of ghost number -2 and η^a is a Grassmann odd scalar field of ghost number -1. λ_a^+ and η_a^+ are their Hodge dual antifields. χ^a is a Grassmann odd two form (a function of θ^2) of ghost number -1 and ζ^a is a Grassmann even two form of ghost number 0. ζ_a^+ and χ_a^+ are their Hodge dual antifields. A gauge fixing term is added to the action S:

$$S_{GF} = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^4\sigma d^4\theta (\eta^a \lambda_a^+ + \zeta^a \chi_a^+). \tag{5.16}$$

and a following gauge fixing fermion term is considered:

$$\Psi = \int_{\mathcal{X}} d^4\sigma d^4\theta \left(\lambda^a (D_A * t)_a + f^a{}_{bc}\eta^b v_a \lambda^c + \chi^a \left(H_a - *H_a + \frac{1}{2} f_{abc} c^b (\chi^c - *\chi^c) \right) \right), \tag{5.17}$$

where $(D_A\Phi)_a = \boldsymbol{d}\Phi_a + f^b{}_{ac}A^c\Phi_b$ and $(D_A\Phi)^a = \boldsymbol{d}\Phi^a + f^a{}_{bc}A^b\Phi^c$ are covariant derivatives.

The gauge fixing conditions are

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^+_a &= \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\lambda^a} = (D_A * t)_a, \\
\chi^+_a &= \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\chi^a} = \tilde{H}_a = H_a - *H_a, \\
A^+_a &= \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial A^a} = f^c{}_{ba}\lambda^b * t_c, \\
H^{+a} &= \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial H_a} = \tilde{\chi}^a = \chi^a - *\chi^a, \\
t^{+a} &= \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t_a} = *(D_A\lambda)^a, \\
c^+_a &= -\frac{1}{2} f^a{}_{bc}\tilde{\chi}^b\tilde{\chi}^c, \\
c^a &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{H}_a = H_a - *H_a$ and $\tilde{\chi}^a = \chi^a - *\chi^a$ are selfdual parts of each field. The gauge fixed action becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
S + S_{GF}| &= \int \bigg(\frac{1}{2}H^2 + H_a F^a + \zeta^a \tilde{H}_a - v_a D_A * D_A \lambda^a + \eta^a D_A * t_a - t_a D_A \tilde{\chi}^a \\
&\qquad - f^c{}_{ab}\lambda^a t_b * t_c \bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

If we delete H_a by the equations of motion, we obtain the action of a topological Yang-Mills theory [e][22]:

$$S + S_{GF}| = \int \bigg(-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{F}^a\tilde{F}_a - v_a D_A * D_A \lambda^a + \eta^a D_A * t_a - t_a D_A \tilde{\chi}^a - f^c{}_{ab}\lambda^a t_b * t_c \bigg).$$

6 Other Examples

6.1 $\theta_4 = 0$

In this case, the bracket (4.11) satisfies (A0), (A1) and the Jacobi identity. Therefore, the bundle $E \to M$ becomes a Lie algebroid:

Definition 6.1 *([23]) A Lie algebroid over a manifold M is a vector bundle $E \to M$ with a Lie algebra structure on the space of the sections ΓE defined by the bracket $[e_1, e_2]$ for $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma E$ and an anchor map $\rho : E \to TM$ satisfying (A0) and (A1).*

---

[e] The original notation in the paper [22] is $v_a = \phi_a$ and $t_a = -\psi_a$.

Let us take $\{e_a\}$ as a local basis of ΓE and a local expression of an anchor map $\rho(e_a) = f^i{}_{1a}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and a Lie bracket $[e_b, e_c] = f_3{}^a{}_{bc}(x) e_a$. The Q-structure Θ associated with the Lie algebroid E is defined as a function on $T^*[3]E[1]$,

$$\Theta := \theta_{123} := f_1{}^i{}_a(x)\xi_i q^a + \frac{1}{2} f_2{}^{ab}(x) p_a p_b + \frac{1}{2} f_3{}^a{}_{bc}(x) p_a q^b q^c, \tag{6.18}$$

which is globally well-defined. Here $\frac{1}{2} f_2{}^{ab}(x) p_a p_b$ is a symmetric pairing on E^*.

The AKSZ construction derives the Q-structure

$$S = S_0 + S_{13} + S_2. \tag{6.19}$$

S defines a Chevelley-Eilenberg differential on $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M})$. If we construct $\mathcal{W}$ such that $Q_{int}\mathcal{W} = 0$, $\mu_{*k}\mathcal{W}$ is a Chevelley-Eilenberg cohomology class on a Lie algebroid E.

The theory has a triple complex structure because

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{Q}_0^2 = \boldsymbol{Q}_{13}^2 = \boldsymbol{Q}_2^2 = 0, \\ &\{\boldsymbol{Q}_k, \boldsymbol{Q}_l\} = 0, \qquad\qquad \text{if} \qquad k \neq l, \end{aligned}$$

where $k, l = 0, 13, 2$. If we consider $\mathcal{W}_2 = (\boldsymbol{Q}_2\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{Q}_2\boldsymbol{q})$, $\boldsymbol{Q}_{int}\mathcal{W}_2 = 0$ since $\boldsymbol{Q}_2\mathcal{W}_2 = \boldsymbol{Q}_{13}\mathcal{W}_2 = 0$. Therefore $\mu_*\mathcal{W}_2$ is a $\boldsymbol{Q}$-cohomology class and $\mathcal{W}_2$ is a generalization of a Donaldson polynomial for a Lie algebroid.

6.2 $\theta_2 = 0$

In this case, A Q-structure $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is decomposed to $\boldsymbol{Q} = \boldsymbol{Q}_0 + \boldsymbol{Q}_{13} + \boldsymbol{Q}_4$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{Q}_0^2 = \boldsymbol{Q}_{13}^2 = \boldsymbol{Q}_4^2 = 0, \\ &\{\boldsymbol{Q}_k, \boldsymbol{Q}_l\} = 0, \qquad\qquad \text{if} \qquad k \neq l. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\boldsymbol{Q}_{13}$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}_4$ define a triple complex structure.

An important cocycle is $\mathcal{W} = \boldsymbol{Q}_4(\frac{1}{4}\boldsymbol{p}_a\boldsymbol{q}^a)$, This is a $\boldsymbol{Q}_{int}$ invariant class and its integration defines a $\boldsymbol{Q}$-cohomology class. Since $\mathcal{W} = \frac{1}{4!} f_{4abcd}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{q}^a\boldsymbol{q}^b\boldsymbol{q}^c\boldsymbol{q}^d$, $\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu\ \mathcal{W} \in H^4_{dR}(\bigwedge^{\cdot} E^*, \delta)$, which classifies a QP-structure of degree 3 in $\theta_2 = 0$ case.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank K.Koizumi and K.Uchino for valuable discussions and comments. This work is supported by Maskawa Institute, Kyoto Sangyo University.

References

[1] M. Alexandrov, M. Kontsevich, A. Schwartz and O. Zaboronsky, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **12** (1997) 1405 [arXiv:hep-th/9502010].

[2] A. S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, Lett. Math. Phys. **56** (2001) 163 [arXiv:math/0102108].

[3] D. Roytenberg, Lett. Math. Phys. **79** (2007) 143 [arXiv:hep-th/0608150].

[4] I.A. Batalin and G.A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. **B102** (1981) 27; Phys. Rev. **D28** (1983) 2567.

[5] A. S. Schwarz, Commun. Math. Phys. **155** (1993) 249 [arXiv:hep-th/9205088]; Commun. Math. Phys. **158** (1993) 373 [arXiv:hep-th/9210115].

[6] J. S. Park, Seoul 2000, Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry, 311-384, [arXiv:hep-th/0012141].

[7] P. Severa, Travaux Math. **16** (2005) 121-137, [arXiv:math/0105080].

[8] N. Ikeda, JHEP **0107** (2001) 037, [arXiv:hep-th/0105286].

[9] A. Kotov and T. Strobl, arXiv:1004.0632 [hep-th].

[10] A. S. Schwarz, Lett. Math. Phys. **49** (1999) 115, [hep-th/9904168].

[11] S. L. Lyakhovich, A. A. Sharapov, Nucl. Phys. **B703** (2004) 419, [hep-th/0407113].

[12] S. L. Lyakhovich, E. A. Mosman, A. A. Sharapov, J. Geom. Phys. **60** (2010) 729, [arXiv:0906.0466 [math-ph]].

[13] G. Barnich, M. Grigoriev, J. Geom. Phys. **61** (2011) 663-674. [arXiv:0905.0547 [math-ph]].

[14] J. Qiu, M. Zabzine, Commun. Math. Phys. **300** (2010) 789, [arXiv:0912.1243 [hep-th]].

[15] J. Kallen, J. Qiu, M. Zabzine, [arXiv:1011.2101 [hep-th]].

[16] N. Ikeda and K. Uchino, Comm. Math. Phys. **303** (2011) 317, arXiv:1004.0601 [hep-th].

[17] M. Grützmann, J. Geom. Phys. **61** (2011) 476-484, [arXiv:1005.5680].

[18] D. Roytenberg, math.DG/9910078.

[19] S. Donaldson, J.Differ.Geom.**18** (1983) 279.

[20] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **117** (1988) 353.

[21] H. Kanno, Z. Phys. C **43** (1989) 477.

[22] E. Witten, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6** (1991) 2775-2792.

[23] K. Mackenzie, Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids in Differential Geometry, LMS Lecture Note Series **124**, Cambridge U. Press, 1987.